arXiv:1401.2589v2 [hep-ph] 21 Jan 2014

"Quark Confinement" and Evolution of Covariant Hadron-Classification Scheme

Shin Ishida*

Research Institute of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan

E-mail: isida@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The extension of Non-Relativistic Classification scheme for Composite Hadrons to Covariant one is one of the most important problem in the hadron spectroscopy. It seems us recently the Covar. Classif. scheme entering into an evolutionary stage, by taking seriously Quark-Confinement into account.

In section 1, firstly we give a brief history of our way of the extension on the kinematical framework, that is, from N.R. scheme one, $SU(2)_\sigma \otimes O(3)_L$ (aside from the flavor freedom), to Covar. scheme, $\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}} \otimes O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}\perp\boldsymbol{v}}$ (the former is a tensor-space of Dirac-spinor embedded with a static spin-symmetry $SU(2)_\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ representing a new mass-reversal symmetry reflecting the physical situation of Q.C.; while the latter is a space of 2-dimensional internal spatial-vector $\boldsymbol{r}$, being orthogonal to the boost velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, embedded in the Lorentz-space $O(3,1)_{\text{Lor.}}$). Secondly we describe a role of the chirality symmetry in Composite Hadrons, which is valid through light to heavy quark system. It is a symmetry of QCD/Standard Gauge Model, of which importance in hadron spectroscopy has been overlooked for many years.

In section 2, propertime τ quantum mechanics for multi-body confined quark system and quantization of Comp. Hadron field is developed. The similar to conventional procedures are performed, but all in Galilean inertial frame (with $\boldsymbol{v}$ = const); starting from an application of variational method to a classical action of the relevant confined system, where quarks have Pauli-type $SU(2)_\sigma$-intrinsic spin and also $SU(2)_\mathfrak{m}$-mass spin. A notable feature of the τ-Q.M. is, it is concerned only future-development : which induces application of the crossing rule for "Negative-Energy Problem". This rule is conventionally supposed ad hoc. The τ-Q.M. also induces Existence of the chiral-quark, with $J^P = (1/2)^-$ (, in addition to the normal quark with $J^P = (1/2)^+$) which is considered to be an origin of new exotics, mysterious from N.R. scheme.

In section 3, it is summarized somewhat new framework of hadron spectroscopy guided by τ-Q.M.: Especially, "Regge Trajectories", in $q\bar{q}$ meson system, are given by Mass-Squared vs. $\hat{N}(n,l)$; where $M^2 = M_0^2 + N\Omega$ ($N = 2n + l$), Intrinsic spin of hadrons $\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{S}$ *comes from only quark-spin*, and *Orbital* $\boldsymbol{l}$ *contributes only to N.*

In section 4, the evolved Cov. Class. scheme is applied to phenomenology of bottomonium, of which experiment obtained a great progress recently. As a result it has been shown the *several remarkable facts*, including the *seemingly-strange ones from N.R. framework, are possible to be clearly explained/interpreted.*

*Senior Research Fellow

1 Introduction


A Road of Non-Relativistic to Covariant Classification Scheme
Most Natural Way*)
$SU(6)_{\sigma F} \otimes O(3)_L \to \widetilde{U}(12)_{SF} \otimes O(3,1)_{\rm Lorentz}$
[4]
[5]
[6], [7]
$SU(6)_{\sigma F} = SU(2)_\sigma \otimes U(3)_F$
$\widetilde{U}(12)_{SF} = \widetilde{U}(4)_{DS} \otimes U(3)_F$
Two No-Go Theorems
Against this way
$\langle P_\mu r_\mu \rangle = \langle P_\mu p_\mu \rangle = 0$
(Relative-Time frozen condition)
External: $SU(6)_{\sigma F}$ → No Relativistic Extension Exists by Coleman-Mandula
Internal: $O(3)_L \to O(3,1)$ Yukawa's Bi-local Field Theory
Rel. Time F. Cond. $X_\mu \longleftrightarrow r_\mu$
Mutually Connected
Violation of Causality,
Unitarity and even Lor. Cov.
*) Strictly, $SU(6)_{\sigma,F} \to SU(6)^{(q)}_{\sigma,F} \otimes SU(6)^{(\bar{q})}_{\sigma,F}, \widetilde{U}(4)_{DS} \to \widetilde{U}(4)^{(q)}_{DS} \otimes \widetilde{U}(4)^{(\bar{q})}_{DS}$ etc.

Figure 1: "No-Go" Theorems against Covariant Extension of Non-Relativistic Spectroscopy.

1.1 A Road of Non-Relativistic to Covariant Classification Scheme [1, 2, 3]

Most natural way[4, 5, 6, 7] of extension is to extend separately both of external and internal parts of the kinematical framework(, see Fig.1). However, this way had been seen to be closed by two No-Go theorems.[8] The external one by the rigorous mathematical one; while the internal one as result of detailed investigation by Yukawa-School researchers.[9] The origin of internal No-Go theorem is considered to come from the close connection between the C.M. coordinate X_μ and the internal coordinate r_μ, as is seen from an ad hoc subsidiary "relative-time frozen" condition. Then we have chosen a semi-phenomenological means of pass-through(, see Fig.2). Concerning the intrinsic quark-spin, *a new freedom of* $SU(2)$*-mass spin* is supposed in addition to the $SU(2)$-σ spin, which makes possible to apply the crossing rule to confined quarks, in conformity with *color-singlet condition of parent hadrons*; while concerning the undesirable connection of internal r_μ to C.M. X_μ, it is separated by supposing r_μ to be spacelike and $\boldsymbol{r}$ to be *orthogonal* [1] to the boost velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$.

1.2 Chirality: Overlooked Symmetry in Hadron Spectroscopy

Chirality is(, see Fig. 3), an important symmetry conserved through all gauge-interaction with each-flavored quarks. This property of chirality seems us that it deserves to be an attribute of, somewhat *elementary entity,* Composite Hadrons, consisting of *confined quarks.*

[1] This implies that constituent quarks have lost a role of carrier of *orbital angular momentum*, as $\boldsymbol{l} = \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{v}) \overset{\boldsymbol{v}=0}{=} 0$ in the observer frame ($\boldsymbol{v} = 0$). (See, section 2.2))


Means of Pass-Through
Not Rigorous But Semi-Phenomenological Scheme
Covar. Spin-Classif. Scheme
$\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS} \longrightarrow \widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$
$\mathfrak{m}$: $SU(2)$ -mass spin space*)
Introducing Chiralons ⇒ Static Unitary Symm. embedded at $\boldsymbol{v} = \mathbf{0}$
$U_{r-} \equiv -\gamma_5 U_{r+}$
$\bar{V}_{\bar{r}-} \equiv \bar{V}_{\bar{r}+}\gamma_5$
($SU(6)_{\sigma F}$)
$SU(2)_\sigma \otimes U(3)_F$
$\otimes$ $SU(2)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ←New Symm.
Mass-Reversal
External:
Basic Urciton-Spinors
Eigen Value of
$\mathfrak{m}_3^{(q)}/\mathfrak{m}_3^{(\bar{q})} \equiv r \pm /\bar{r}\pm$
(Paulon)
$U_{r+}(P, M_+)\ \frac{1}{2}^+$
$\bar{V}_{\bar{r}+}(P, M_+)\ \frac{1}{2}^-$
(Chiralon)
$U_{r-}(P, M_-)\ \frac{1}{2}^-$
$\bar{V}_{\bar{r}-}(P, M_-)\ \frac{1}{2}^+$
Internal: $O(3,1)_{\mathrm{Lor}} \longrightarrow O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}\perp\boldsymbol{v}}$ embedded in $O(3,1)_{\mathrm{Lor}}$
$X_\mu \longleftrightarrow r_\mu$
Separated
$r_\mu^2 > 0$ (space-like)
$(P_\mu r_\mu) = 0$ and $(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}) = 0$
$\widetilde{U}(12)_{SF} \otimes O(3,1)_{\mathrm{Lor}} \Rightarrow \widetilde{U}(12)_{SF,\mathfrak{m}} \otimes O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}\perp\boldsymbol{v}}$
Two No-Go Theorems have been Passed-Through
*) Freedom of Mass Reversal ($M_+ \Leftrightarrow M_-$) is deduced from ``Quark Confinement''.

Figure 2: Phenomenological Means of Pass-Through Difficulties.


Chirality: Overlooked Symmetry in Hadron Spectroscopy
Chirality Conservation in QCD/Standard Gauge Model
$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\partial_\mu q) \longrightarrow (\bar{q}\gamma_\mu D_\mu q) = \cdots - ig(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu A_\mu q) + \cdots$
$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$
$A_\mu = \sum_{a=1}^{8} A_\mu^a \lambda^a/2$
Chirality Transf. $q \to q^\chi = -\gamma_5 q$
Quark Int. $\mathcal{L}_I(q) \to \mathcal{L}_I(q^\chi) = \mathcal{L}_I(q)$ chirality conservation
with Each -Flavored Quarks
Role of Chirality in Composite Hadrons
[Const. Quark Field]
$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_\mu^2} - M^2\right)\Psi_\alpha(X) = 0$
$\left[\left(\gamma_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\mu} + M_\pm\right)\Psi_\pm(X)\right]_\alpha = 0$
$(M_\pm \equiv \pm M)$
$\Psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{P_\mu(P_0=E)} \left(\sum_{r=\pm} U_{r;\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}(P))e^{iPX} + \sum_{\bar{r}=\pm} V_{\bar{r},\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}(P))e^{-iPX}\right)$
$P_\mu = Mu_\mu$
$(iu_\mu\gamma_\mu \pm 1)U_{r\pm}(\boldsymbol{v}(P)) = 0$, $(iu_\mu\gamma_\mu \mp 1)V_{\bar{r}\pm}(\boldsymbol{v}(P)) = 0$ ``Urciton-Spinor''-Eq.
$(iP_\mu\gamma_\mu \pm M)U_{r\pm}(P, M) = 0$ $\left(\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \frac{d\boldsymbol{X}}{dT}, u_\mu \equiv \frac{dX_\mu}{d\tau}\right)$ ``Const. Quark-Spinor''-Eq.
Mass Reversal; $\hat{R}_m = \rho_1(\mathfrak{m})$ $M_\pm \to M_\mp$ $\Psi_\pm(X) \to \Psi_\mp(X)$
Chirality Transf.; $\hat{R}_{\mathrm{PT}} = -\gamma_5$ $X_\mu \to -X_\mu$ $\Psi(X_\mu) \to \Psi(-X_\mu)$

Figure 3: Chirality Conservation in Standard Gauge Model, and Mass Reversal of Constituent Quarks.


Two Types of Urciton Spinor Representation *)
For $\Psi(X)$ with Respective Repr. of Ur. Spinors
$U_{r\pm,\alpha}$: B.W. Repr. , r = Eigen Value of $\rho_3(m)$;
Equiv.
$\hat{R}_m\Psi(X) \approx \hat{R}_{\rm PT}\Psi(X)$
$U_\alpha^{(\chi\pm)}$: Chirality Repr. , χ± = Eigen Value of $\hat{\chi}$;
Identical
$\hat{R}_m\Psi(X) = \hat{R}_{\rm PT}\Psi(X)$
[$q\bar{q}$ -Meson Field]
$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_\mu^2} - M^2\right)\Phi_\alpha^{(M)\beta}(X) = 0$
$\Phi_\alpha^{(M)\beta}(X) = \sum_{P_\mu}[\sum_{r,\bar{r}} \underbrace{U_{\alpha,r}(\boldsymbol{v})\bar{V}_{\bar{r}}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})}_{W_\alpha^{(M)\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})} e^{iPX} + \sum_{r,\bar{r}} \underbrace{V_{\alpha,r}(\boldsymbol{v})\bar{U}_{\bar{r}}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})}_{W_\alpha^{(\bar{M})\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})} e^{-iPX}]$
• Expansion of Meson Wave Function into complete set of Definite-J^PC,χ Mesons
$\Phi_\alpha^{(M)\beta}(X) = \sum_i \Phi^{(i)}(X)\Gamma_\alpha^{(i)\beta}$
$\Phi^{(i)} = \{P_s^{(N)}, P_s^{(E)}, S^{(N)}, S^{(E)}, V_\mu^{(N)}, V_\mu^{(E)}, A_\mu^{(N)}, A_\mu^{(E)}\}$ to be second quantized
$\Gamma^{(i)} = \{i\gamma_5, -\gamma_5(u_\mu\gamma_\mu), 1, -(u_\mu\gamma_\mu), i\tilde{\gamma}_\mu\epsilon_\mu, -i\sigma_{\mu\nu}u_\mu\epsilon_\nu, i\gamma_5\tilde{\gamma}_\mu\epsilon_\mu, \gamma_5\sigma_{\mu\nu}u_\mu\epsilon_\nu\}$ *)
$\chi^{(i)} = \{-,+,-,+,+,-,+,-\}$ in chirality repres.
$\Phi^{(i)}(P(\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{0}))$ Mesons with the given Lorentz Transf. Property
``Chirality ''; ← New Attribute of Composite Hadron in addition to J^PC
*) Chirality Representation U^(χ) and Bergmann-Wigner Repr. U_r :
$U_\alpha^{(\chi\pm)} = (1/2)(U_{r+} \pm U_{r-})_\alpha, U_{(r\pm)\alpha} = (1/2)(U^{(\chi+)} \pm U^{(\chi-)})_\alpha$, $\tilde{\gamma}_\mu$: $(u\cdot\tilde{\gamma}) = 0$

Figure 4: New Attribute-χ·Structure of Composite Hadrons, and Two Representations of Urciton-Quark Spinor.

Here (Fig. 3) it may be worth to point out the two side-role of Dirac-spinor in the covariant classification $\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$-scheme: the one, as urciton spinors, which concerns the chirality[10] structure $\chi^{(i)}(\pm)$'s of parent hadrons, while the other, as constituent-quark spinors concerns the mass-reversal[11] [2] structure $r^{(i)}(\pm)$'s of parent hadrons.

Further (Fig. 4) there are two Representations of Urciton spinors(, see Fig.4); the B.W. Repr. with definite sign of Mass term and Chirality Repr. with definite sign of $\chi(\pm)$. The local meson wave-function $\Phi_\alpha^{(M)\beta}(X)$ is expanded by complete set of 8·Dirac-Matrices, of which respective amplitudes, $\Phi^{(i)}$'s with *definite* $J^{PC\chi}$ are to be second-quantized, as "*elementary*" entity. [3]

2 Propertime Quantum Mechanics for Multi-Body Confined-Quark System and Quantization of Composite-Hadron Fields

Before going into details, is shown overview for constructing a Quantum Field Theory of Composite Particle.

[2] Here it may be notable that the sign of mass, which had been meaningless for free Dirac particles, now plays an important role for *Confined Quarks*: and that Galilean Inertial Frame with definite Boost Velocity ($\boldsymbol{v} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$) for Isolated, multi-particle system seems to be well representing the physical situation of Quark Confinement. The frame with ($\boldsymbol{v} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$), to be called the Particle Frame, while the one with ($\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0}$) is called the Observer Frame. The formulas obtained in the former (latter) becomes Lorentz invariant (covariant).

[3] It is to be noted that Urciton spinors in *B.W.* Repr. appear as spin W.F. of mixed-states of the quantized pure-states with definite χ(see, section 4).


Overview on Construction of Quantum Field Theory of Composite Particles
Field Theory
Propertime Quantum Mechanics
Prototype Classical Mechanics on Multi-Body System of Spinning Particle
Center of Mass Motion
Internal Motion of Constituents
Constituent's Motion
$X_\mu(\boldsymbol{X}, T=\tau(\boldsymbol{0}))$
$(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\text{'s}; \tau(\boldsymbol{v}))$
$(x_\mu^{(i)}(\tau(\boldsymbol{v}))\text{'s}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{v})\text{'s}, \boldsymbol{m}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{v})\text{'s})$
- In Observer Frame $(\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{0})$ -
- In Particle Frame $(\boldsymbol{v}\neq\boldsymbol{0})$ -
$\tau(\boldsymbol{v})$ - variance of constituent coord.
$\boldsymbol{v}$ - dependence of Pauli-type Spinors
- In Particle Frame $(\boldsymbol{v}=\text{const.})$ -
(2nd Quantiz.)
(1st Quantiz.)
World of Local Hadrons with $\bar{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$-Symmetry
Hadron Mass Spectra
$M=P_0(\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{0})$
Static-Symmetry
$U(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}\otimes O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}}$
Local Hadron Fields
Multi-Local Wave Function
$H_{\hat{N},\hat{S}}(X_\mu)\text{'s}$
$\Phi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}^{(r_1 s_1)\cdots(r_n s_n)}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\text{'s}; \tau(\boldsymbol{v})) \approx \begin{pmatrix} x_\mu^{(1)}(\tau(\boldsymbol{v}))\cdots x_\mu^{(n)}(\tau(\boldsymbol{v})) \\ \chi_{r,s}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{v})\cdots\chi_{r,s}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{v}) \end{pmatrix}$
Indices $\begin{bmatrix} N\cdots O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}} \\ \hat{S}\cdots U(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}} \end{bmatrix}$
C.M. Coord. $X_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{M}\sum_i m^{(i)} x_\mu^{(i)}$
Internal Coord. $r_\mu^{(i)} \equiv x_\mu^{(i)} - X_\mu$
$r=(\uparrow,\downarrow)$: direction of $\mathfrak{m}_3$-spin
$s=(\uparrow,\downarrow)$: direction of σ_3-spin

Figure 5: Overview Bound for Composite-Hadron Field.

2.1 Prototype Mechanics for Solitary Urciton-Quark Field [4]

The concrete formulas, obtained from application of the plan (Fig. 5), in the ideal case of Constituent-Quark/Urciton Field is collected in Fig. 6 and 7: From Fig. 6 we see that i) Einstein Formula on 4-momentum is included as Kinematics in our scheme; and ii) Mass-shell condition is deduced from the Invariance of action for τ-Gauge transformation, which reflects that the τ-scale of solitary/confined system is not observable. And from Fig. 7 we see that iii) the τ-gauge condition deduces on the one hand the $SU(2)_{\mathfrak{m}}$-mass space with the basic vectors[5] chiralon($J^P=\frac{1}{2}^-$) in addition to Paulon($J^P=\frac{1}{2}^+$); and on the other hand the Klein-Gordon Eq. as "Prime" one for Const. Quark Field, leading to the crossing rule[6] for Negative-Energy problem.

2.2 Prototype Mechanics for Multi-Urciton Quark Fields

The concrete formulas, result of application of the plan (Fig. 5) in the relevant case are collected in Figs. 8 and 9: In Fig. 8 is also shown How to dissolve the undesirable connection between X_μ and r_μ, both in $O(3,1)_{\text{Lor.}}$ (in section 1.1), making the direct product $\{X_\mu$ in $O(3,1)_{\text{Lor.}}\}\otimes\{\boldsymbol{r}\in O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r}\perp\boldsymbol{v}}$, embedded in $O(3,1)_{\text{Lor.}}\}$. Here it may be worth to note that the choice of internal coordinate $r_\mu^{(i)}$'s with the Kinematical Constraint (which, might be queer from the conventional view of composite model,) is quite natural in the Particle F., as an inertial frame. It is remarkable that there is No *Relative-Time Problem* in our scheme.

In Fig. 9 is given, especially, (squared-)Mass Spectral Operator, which consists of independent sum of those, being respective Hooke-type oscillator on $\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}$'s; and derived an interesting

[4] Strictly this case is included as a part of General Formalism for multi Urciton-Quark system.

[5] As Elementary Entity only Paulon ($M>0$; $\frac{1}{2}^+$) exits, while Chiralon($M<0$; $\frac{1}{2}^-$) permitted to do, as its Shadow.

[6] The reason of this deduction originates from that the propertime mechanics is concerned only *Future development*.


Prototype Mechanics for Solitary Urciton-Quark Field
(Action Principle and Lagrange Eq.)
Action $S = \int \mathcal{L}(\dot{X}_\mu)d\tau, \quad \mathcal{L} = -M\sqrt{-\dot{X}_\mu^2} \quad (M > 0)$ *1)
$\dot{X}_\mu \equiv dX_\mu/d\tau \quad (\dot{X}_\mu^2 = -1) \quad d\tau = \sqrt{-(dX_\mu)^2} = dT\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}^2} \quad (\boldsymbol{v} \equiv d\boldsymbol{X}/dT)$
$d\tau > 0 \to dT > 0$ (Future development) ⇒ , dissolving Internal ``No-Go'' Theor. on Causality Violation
Lagrange Eq. $dP_\mu/d\tau = 0, \; P_\mu = M\dot{X}_\mu$
$P_i = \frac{Mv_i}{\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}^2}}$, $P_0 = \frac{M}{\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}^2}}$ Einstein Formula
(t-Hamiltonian and t-gauge condition)
$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2M}(P_\mu^2 + M^2) = P_\mu\dot{X}_\mu - \mathcal{L} = \frac{K}{M}(P_\mu^2 + M^2)$
$G \equiv P_\mu^2 + M^2 \propto \mathcal{H} = 0$
``Zero''- H comes from Invariance of Action for t-scale/Gauge Transf. *2)
$\tau \to \tau' = K\tau(K > 0)$
*1), *2) As Elementary Entity only Paulon (M>0; ½⁺) exists(*1), while Chiralon(M<0; ½⁻) permitted to do, as its Shadow(*2).

Figure 6: Action Principle and τ-Gauge Condition.


(τ -Schrödinger Wave Eq. and τ-Wave Function)
In Part. F. $i\frac{d}{d\tau}\Phi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}:\tau) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{P}})\Phi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}:\tau)$
In Obs. F. $\hat{G}\Phi_\alpha(X_\mu) = \left(\hat{P}_\mu^2 + M^2\right)\Phi_\alpha(X_\mu) = 0$
Two Implication of $\hat{G}\Phi_\alpha = 0$
$\hat{G} = \begin{cases} (-\hat{P}_0 + E)(\hat{P}_0 + E) & \cdots \text{Positive/Negative-freq. sol.} \\ (i\hat{P}_\mu\gamma_\mu + M)(i\hat{P}_\mu\gamma_\mu - M) & \cdots \text{Paulon/Chiralon-Dirac Spinor} \end{cases}$
M_+ M_-
Total Form of WF.
$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{P},\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X};\tau(\boldsymbol{v})) = \Phi^{(+)}_{\boldsymbol{P},\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X};\tau(\boldsymbol{v})) + \Phi^{(-)}_{\boldsymbol{P},\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X};\tau(\boldsymbol{v}))$
$= \sum_{r,s} U_{r,s;\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}(P))e^{+i\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{v})\cdot\boldsymbol{X}}e^{-iP_0(\boldsymbol{v})\tau(\boldsymbol{v})} + \sum_{\bar{r},\bar{s}} V_{\bar{r},\bar{s};\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}(P))e^{-i\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{v})\cdot\boldsymbol{X}}e^{+iP_0(\boldsymbol{v})\tau(\boldsymbol{v})}$
Positive-Frequency Part
Negative-Frequency Part
r = { + : Paulon, − : Chiralon }
⇒ ``Crossing Rule for Negative –Energy Problem''(, appearing in Most of Covar. Scheme,) has been deduced and New Freedom of SU(2)-mass spin is produced.

Figure 7: τ-Schrödinger Wave-Equation and Existence of *Chiralon* as *"Shadow"* of Paulon.


Prototype Mechanics for Multi-Urciton Quark Field
(Internal coordinates and Propertime for a Multi-Urciton Quark System)
Int. Coord. measured from C.M. X_μ
$r_\mu^{(i)} \equiv x_\mu^{(i)} - X_\mu; \quad r_\mu \equiv \kappa^{(i)} X_\mu, \quad (\kappa^{(i)} = m^{(i)}/M, M = \sum_i m^{(i)})$
(; Kinematical Constr. $\sum_i m_i r_\mu^{(i)} = 0, \quad \sum_i \boldsymbol{p}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{0}$)
$P_\mu r_\mu^{(i)} = 0, \ (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{(i)}) = 0; \ O(2)_{\boldsymbol{r} \perp \boldsymbol{v}}$ embedded in $O(3,1)_{\mathrm{Lor.}}$
Propertime: Quarks behave as Parton $(\boldsymbol{v}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{v})$
$\tau(\boldsymbol{v}^{(i)}) = \tau(\boldsymbol{v}),$ $\tau(\boldsymbol{v})$ in Part. F. $\xrightarrow[\boldsymbol{v}=0]{} T$ in Obs. F.; Common Propertime Through All Constituent
(Action Principle and Lagrange Eq.)
Action $S = \int_a^b \mathcal{L}(\dot{X}_\mu; \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s}) d\tau(\boldsymbol{v})$
$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{2U(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s})}\sqrt{-\left(M\dot{X}_\mu^2 + \sum_i m_i \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{(i)2}\right)}, \quad \left(U(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s}) \equiv \sum_i U^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}) > 0\right)$
Can. Mom. $P_\mu \doteq M\dot{X}_\mu, \quad \boldsymbol{p}_i \doteq m_i \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_i,$ in Covariant τ-Gauge
Lagrange Eq. $\frac{d}{d\tau} P_\mu = 0, \quad \frac{d}{d\tau}\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)} + \frac{\partial U^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)})}{d\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}} = 0$

Figure 8: Internal Coord., Propertime and Action Principle for Multi-Particle System.


(``Prime'' τ-Hamiltonian and Hooke Potential)
$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2M}(P_\mu^2 + \mathcal{M}^2(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s}, \boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}\mathrm{'s})) = 0$
$\mathcal{M}^2 = \sum_i \mathfrak{m}^{(i)2}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}), \quad \mathfrak{m}^{(i)2}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}) \equiv \frac{M}{m_i}\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)2} + 2MU^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)})$
$\mathcal{M}^2$: indep. sum of $m^{(i)2}$ (; respective Oscillat.)
$U^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)}) = \delta^2(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)})U^{(i)}(0) + K^{(i)}\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)2}, \quad \mathfrak{m}^{(i)2} = 2m_i\overline{U}^{(i)}(0) + \boldsymbol{n}^{(i)}\Omega$
$U^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r}_i)$: Hooke Potential due to Non-Pert. QCD
$\overline{U}^{(i)}(0) = \int \Phi_S^*(\boldsymbol{r}_i)U^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{r})\Phi_S(\boldsymbol{r})d^2\boldsymbol{r}$; Aver. Pot.
Φ_S : S-wave WF
$\boldsymbol{n}^{(i)}$; Number Operator
$m_i = 2\overline{U}^{(i)}(0)$ (Const. Quark Mass is determined by Aver. Pot. at Parent-Position)
(τ-Schrödinger Wave Eq. and Mass-spectral Eq.)
$i\frac{d}{d\tau}\Phi^{[H]\beta_1\cdots\beta_m}_{\boldsymbol{P},\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s}; \tau) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}\Phi^{[H]\beta_1\cdots\beta_m}_{\boldsymbol{P},\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s}; \tau)$
separating into (±) τ-freq. part
In Obs. F. ⟶ $i\frac{d}{dT}\Phi^{[H](\pm)\beta_1\cdots\beta_m}_{\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{0},\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s}; T) = \pm\hat{\mathcal{M}}\Phi^{[H](\pm)\beta_1\cdots\beta_m}_{\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{0},\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s}; T)$
(Expansion of Non-Local Multi-Quark WF into Local $\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$-Spin Hadron WF)
$\Phi^{(H)(\pm)}(X_\mu, \boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s}) = \sum_{\hat{N}} \Phi^{(H)(\pm)}_{\hat{N}}(X) O_{\hat{N}}(\boldsymbol{r}^{(i)\prime}\mathrm{s})$
$\hat{N} = \{\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_2 \cdots\}, \hat{N}^{(i)} \equiv \{n^{(i)}, l^{(i)}\}$

Figure 9: Mass-Spectral Operator and $\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$-Multiplet of Local Hadron WF.

formula on the relation that the constituent-quark mass is given by the average value of respective potential $\bar{U}^{(i)}(0)$. Here also is given a Prescription of How to derive the Local Hadron WF to be Second Quantized from the Non-Local Multi-Quark WF. Here it may be instructive to note that all the results given in section 2.1 are valid here (in section 2.2) as formulas concerning on C.M. coordinate X_μ. Needless to mention, this comes from the separation between X_μ and r_μ explained in Fig. 8.

3 Hadron Spectroscopy Guided by τ-Quantum Mechanics



Figure 10: Physical Image for Composite-Hadron System and Eight-Trajectories of Quarkonium.

In Fig. 10 is summarized Essence of Renewed Covariant Classif. Scheme. It might be notable that such as the concrete picture for Composite-Hadron becomes from the view-point of Particle F.. In connection to this we should like to point out that Urciton Quarks are c-number Simulator of Bound Quarks by Non-Pert. QCD-Force, carrying spinor-index, internal extension; and mass of quarks; and that our scheme be satisfied[12] with Cluster Property.

4 Phenomenology of Bottomonium-System in the Evolved Classification-Scheme

In Figure 11, in the former-half, Experimental Data[13, 14] and Properties on Two-h_b's and Two-$Z_b^{\pm}$'s are summarized with Problems - *three-experimental facts*(Exp. F-1, F-2, F-3)- to be clarified on the standpoint of conventional Non-Relativ. classification: while, in the latter-half, Identification and Theoretical Interpretation in Evolved Classif. Scheme are given. Furthermore, here is also given the extended CPT-theorem, to be applied for Quantized Comp. Hadrons, which becomes a theoretical basis to solve the above problems.


Experimental Data and Properties of Observed Resonances
Two h_b's : $h_b(9898)$, $h_b(10259)$
Exp F-1 Process : $e^+ + e^- \to \Upsilon(5S) \to h_b(mP)\pi^+\pi^- \quad (m = 1, 2)$ $J^P=1^+$
Production σ : Comparable to $e^+ + e^- \to \Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^- \quad (n = 1, 2, 3)$
Problem Why no suppression due to heavy-quark spin-flip working ? (Note $\Upsilon(nS)\uparrow\uparrow$ vs $h_b(mP)\uparrow\downarrow$)
Two $Z_{b1,2}^{\pm}(J^P=1^+)$'s $Z_{b1}(10610)$ and $Z_{b2}(10650)$ in Five Channels;{ $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^{\pm}$}, {$h_b(mP)\pi^{\pm}$}
Exp F-2 Production Rate : Similar for these 5-channles
Exp F-3 Relat. Phase between (Z_{b1} and Z_{b2}) = (0 and 180)°
in $\Upsilon(nS)$and $h_b(mP)$-channel, respectively
Identification and Theor. Interpretation in Evolved Classif. Scheme
Identif: $h_b((1,2)P) \to A^{(E)}((1,2)S)$; $\Upsilon(nS) \to \Upsilon^{(N)}(nS)$
$Z_{b1,2} \to Z_{b1}[V_b^{(1)} \cdot \pi]$; $Z_{b2}[V_b^{(2)} \cdot \pi]$
Chirality Conservation ; in any Reactions among Quantized Composite Hadrons
The Situation of Chirality-flow in the Relevant Processes is shown in Fig. 12
(Theor. Basis)
CPT-Theorem
For elementary P. $|a\rangle$: $\hat{\Theta}|a\rangle = |\bar{a}\rangle$ $\hat{\Theta} = \widehat{CPT}$
For comp. Hadrons $|H\rangle$: $\hat{\Theta}_H|H\rangle = \hat{\chi}|\bar{H}\rangle \equiv |\bar{H}^{(P)}\rangle$ $\hat{\Theta}_H = \widehat{CP}\,\underline{\chi}$
$\Rightarrow$ $\langle F|\boldsymbol{T}|I\rangle = \langle\overline{\hat{\chi}F}|\overset{(P)}{\boldsymbol{T}}|\overline{\hat{\chi}I}\rangle = \langle I^{(P)}|\boldsymbol{T}^{(P)\dagger}|F^{(P)}\rangle$
$H^{(P)}$ Chir.-Partner
operating on urciton spinors
$\therefore$ $P(I \to F) = P(I^{(P)} \to F^{(P)})$

Figure 11: Experimental Features of New Observed Resonances and Its Interpretation in Evolved Classif. Scheme.



Figure 12: Chirality-Flow Chart in Respective χ-definite pair-states $\Upsilon(5S)^{(N/E)}$; Components of Initial Mixed-State $\Upsilon(5S)$.

In Figure 12, we have shown the status of chirality conservation through all the relevant process in a rather concrete way. At the beginning it is to be stressed that the initial state $\Upsilon(5S)$ is produced through Electro-magnetic Process, ignorant of the chirality, and is the mixed-state of quantized definite-χ states, $\Upsilon(5S)^{(N)}$ and $\Upsilon(5S)^{(E)}$, with equal mixing-probability. Then[15] the status of χ_b conservation is described along the $\chi_b(+)$"Light"-chart and the $\chi_b(-)$"Shadow"-chart, respectively. On the basis of all above considerations the problems in the Non-Relativistic scheme seem to be dissolved in Evolved Classif. Scheme, as follows: Exp. Fact-1 and -2 on production rate are rather natural, and Exp. Fact-3 is predicted in $\widetilde{U}(4)_{DS,\mathfrak{m}}$-spin scheme. The problem on Spin-Flip Mech. disappears; since in our identification both of $\Upsilon^{(N)}(nS)/(\Upsilon(nS))$ and $A^{(E)}(nS)/(h_b\,((1,2)\,P))$ is in the spin-triplet state.

5 Concluding Discussions

(Summary)

In this talk we have described essential logical basis of renewed covariant scheme of hadron-spectroscopy, developed recently, by applying propertime quantum mechanics to the multi-body confined quark system.

We have applied it to investigate the phenomenology on the bottomonium and its alike systems, of which experiment has obtained a great progress recently.

(Remarks)

The results of above application seem us to be successful, and suggesting us that the phenomenological knowledge thus far obtained, resorting on non-relativistic scheme, should be reexamined from the relativistic one seriously and vigorously!

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Prof. K. Yamada and Dr. T. Maeda for useful discussions and comments. This talk, without their kind cooperation, would not be realized. I am also grateful to Prof. T. Takamatsu, whose continual interests in Extension of Non-Relativistic to Covariant Spectroscopy, stimulating our collaboration in the σ-group.

References

[1] S. Ishida, M. Ishida and T. Maeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**, 785 (2000), S. Ishida and M. Ishida, Phys. Lett. B **539** (2002), 249.

[2] S. Ishida and σ-group members, Soryushiron Kenkyu (electronic edition) Vol. 12 (2012) No. 2.

[3] S. Ishida, K. Yamada, T. Maeda, T. Komada, K. Takamatsu, respectively in *Proceedings of the IHEP Seminar on Perspectives for Studies of Chiral Particles at BES*, KEK Proceedings 2006-8. (Editors, W. G. Li, S. Kurokawa, K. Takamatsu, K. Yamada, and T. Komada.)

[4] A. Salam, R. Delbourgo and J. Strathdee, Proc. Roy. Soc.(London) A **284** (1965), 146, B. Sakita and K. C. Wali, Phys. Rev. **139** (1965), B1355.

[5] H. Yukawa, Phys. Rev. **91** (1953), 415 and 416.

[6] S. Ishida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46** (1971), 1570 and 1905.

[7] R. P. Feynman, M. Kislinger, and F. Ravndal, Phys. Rev. D **3** (1971), 2706, S. Ishida and K. Yamada, Phys. Rev. D **35** (1987), 265, T. Takabayasi, Nuovo Cimento **33** (1964), 668, K. Fujimura, T. Kobayashi, and M. Namiki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **43** (1970), 73, Y. S.

Kim and M. E. Noz, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973), 3521, S. Ishida, K. Takeuchi, S. Tsuruta, M. Watanabe and M. Oda, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979), 2906.

[8] S. Colemann and J. Mandula, Phys. Rev. **159** (1967), 1251.

[9] H. Yukawa(p.2), C. Møller(p.13) and R. E. Peierls(p.24); the session Field Theory A Non-Local Theory in Proceedings of International Conf. of Theoretical Physics, Kyoto & Tokyo Sept.(1953).

[10] S. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. **106** (1957), 1306.

[11] J. J. Sakurai, Nuovo Cimento, **7** (1958), 649, J. Tiomono, Nuovo Cimento, **1** (1955), 226.

[12] A textbook on "Specific Q.M." by S. Kamefuchi and M. Omote (in Japanese), Asakura, (2003).

[13] Belle Collaboration, I. Adachi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 032001 (2012).

[14] Belle Collaboration, A. Bondar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 122001 (2012).

[15] A text book on "Basic Q. M." by S. Machida (in Japanese), Maruzen, (1990).